## TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

PLANNING BOARD MEETING

Town Hall

1 Heady Street

Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

February 6, 2024

6:30 p.m. - 7:05 p.m.

## MEMBERS PRESENT:

Steven Kessler, Chairperson

Thomas A. Bianchi, Vice-Chairperson

David Douglas, Member

Nora Hildinger, Member

Kevin Kobasa, Member

Peter McKinley, Member

Jeff Rothfeder, Member

## ALSO PRESENT:

Chris Kehoe, AICP, Director of Planning
Michael Cunningham, Deputy Town Attorney
Joseph Fusillo, P.E., Planning Board Engineer

1	February 6, 2024
2	(The board meeting commenced at 6:30 p.m.)
3	MR. STEVEN KESSLER: All right, welcome
4	to the February 6th meeting of the Town of
5	Cortlandt Planning Board. Please rise for the
6	pledge.
7	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
8	flag of the United States of America and to the
9	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
10	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
11	all.
12	MR. KESSLER: Thank you, Chris, roll
13	please.
14	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Mr. Kabasa?
15	MR. KEVIN KOBASA: Here.
16	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Hildinger?
17	MS. NORA HILDINGER: Here.
18	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Rothfeder?
19	MR. JEFFREY ROTHFEDER: Here.
20	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kessler?
21	MR. KESSLER: Here.
22	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Bianchi?
23	MR. THOMAS BIANCHI: Here.
24	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Douglas?

1	February 6, 2024
2	MR. DAVID DOUGLAS: Here.
3	MR. KEHOE: Mr. McKinley?
4	MR. PETER MCKINLEY: Here.
5	MR. KESSLER: Thank you. We have no
6	changes to the agenda this evening. Can I please
7	have a motion to adopt the minutes from our
8	meeting of December 9th?
9	MR. BIANCHI: So moved.
10	MR. KOBASA: So moved.
11	MR. KESSLER: Second, please?
12	MR. ROTHFEDER: Second.
13	MR. KESSLER: And on the question, all
14	in favor?
15	MULTIPLE: Aye.
16	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? All right, the
17	first item under correspondence, a letter dated
18	January 26, 2024 from Matthew Steinberg
19	requesting the first one-year time extension of
20	conditional site plan approval for the Gurdjieff
21	Foundation, located at 1065 Quaker Bridge Road
22	East. Kevin?
23	MR. KOBASA: I'd like to make a motion
24	to approve the one-year time extension.

	Page
1	February 6, 2024
2	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Thank you. That's
3	Resolution 2-24. Second please.
4	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
5	MR. KESSLER: And on the question, all
6	in favor?
7	MULTIPLE: Aye.
8	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Next item under
9	correspondence, a letter dated January 30, 2024
10	from David Steinmetz requesting planning board
11	approval for a proposed 2,400 square foot storage
12	building located at Dakota Supply at 2099 Albany
13	Post Road. Chris
14	MR. KEHOE: And maybe at least for the
15	record, David, you could just say one or two
16	things. Are you prepared for that case?
17	MR. KESSLER: He's always prepared to
18	say something.
19	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: I really just came
20	to see all of you.
21	MR. KEHOE: You wrote the letter.
22	MR. STEINMETZ: As you all discussed in
23	the works, David Steinmetz from the law firm of
24	Zarin and Steinmetz here representing Bilotta and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Dakota, entities. Our client, as you indicated in the work session, is simply trying to construct a small storage building on an existing light industrial site. You have the specifications, I, I think it is 2,400 square feet. I don't want to misstate that number, if that's what, the plans reflect. it is a, a small metal butler building. There are no utilities there. There's, this is not running water. This is literally like a large shed on an existing industrial property. It is located, just so the record is clear toward the front, Mr. Chairman, not toward the rear, as Mr. Kehoe indicated in your work session. But it is on a section of the property where it really does belong for storage purposes and it should have no impact on any surrounding property.

And lastly, for those of you who do recall shanking golf balls on the driving range when there was a driving range there, it is on the form of driving range property. That was not directed specifically at you, Steve, but.

MR. KESSLER: If you find any of my balls there let me know. So this has been, the

February 6, 2024
staff's reviewed this and, it's been through
MR. KEHOE: Yeah.
MR. KESSLER: all phases of the town
here?
MR. KEHOE: Yeah, we do this electronic
system now called OpenGov and all of the
documents are in OpenGov. They've been, been
reviewed for a lot of time already in the code
and engineering office, and the simple building
has already been referred to the Architectural
Advisory Council. They had no comments.
MR. KESSLER: Okay. Alright. Mr.
Douglas?
MR. DOUGLAS: Okay, on our case number
PB 8-03, I make a motion that we approve the
request for the proposed storage building.
MR. KESSLER: Second please.
MR. MCKINLEY: Second.
MR. KESSLER: And on the question, all
in favor?
MULTIPLE: Aye.
MR. KESSLER: Opposed?
MR. STEINMETZ: Thank you.

1	February 6, 2024
2	MR. KESSLER: Thank you, David. Alright,
3	next item on the agenda is the 2023 Planning
4	board annual report. Nora?
5	MS. HILDINGER: I'd like to make a
6	motion to receive and file.
7	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
8	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
9	MR. KESSLER: And on the question, all
10	in favor?
11	MULTIPLE: Aye.
12	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Alright. Thanks
13	for your work on that, Chris. Under old business,
14	first item, the application of Heike Schneider on
15	behalf of 3120 Lexington, LLC, for amended site
16	plan approval and a wetland permit for a proposed
17	2,088 square foot building addition to the
18	existing ACE Hardware Store, located at 3120
19	Lexington Avenue, latest drawings, November 1,
20	2023. Heike, good evening.
21	MS. HEIKE SCHNEIDER: Good evening.
22	MR. KESSLER: So, we had our site visit
23	there on Sunday morning. And as you probably can
24	infer from the comments, you know, there are a

2.3

February 6, 2024

lot of concerns on the part of the board, not just what's happened on the site since we approved it, which I know you've now cleaned up, but also still concerns about the proximity to the wetland, A DEC regulated wetland that's, giving a lot of us on this board a lot of concern on, on how to move forward.

And I think, when we left there, there, there was going to be some meetings that were going to take place between the staff of the town and, and you and others, to see if there's some way to figure out this conundrum that we have here, with this building so close to the wetlands. And, and honestly that is our sticking point. That is, you know, it's very rare for us to approve things in a buffer, let alone in a wetland that's just, you know, no pun intended, a line we just, you know, haven't crossed here on this board. So it, it's, it is really going to be an uphill battle here.

MS. SCHNEIDER: So let me ask you a question, because we did get another letter from the DEC and she had several questions, and I was

2.3

February 6, 2024

wondering, so if we do satisfy their requests, and their requests were mostly proving to us that we can do the construction without really getting into the wetlands. So, if we would succeed to do that, and we are right now also talking to the guy, to the, to Steel-Smith, which is going to be the guy, the company that installs the building, would that then also sway the board if we would get the --

MR. KESSLER: That, that is a great question. That, that, that, you know, there's seven of us here. I don't know. I mean, I think clearly, the DEC is the first hurdle.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Right, yes.

MR. KESSLER: But I don't know if I can sit here and say that with their approval, that this board would still give their approval for that construction. That, you know, I mean, we are a little early in the process for, you know, to have an opinion on that. But, there, there's a lot of concern about this. Any other board members want to talk to this point?

MR. KOBASA: I'll go ahead.

2.3

MR. KESSLER: Go ahead.

MR. KOBASA: I have a lot of reservations about the fact that that pin was basically directly on the water for the corner of the building. And while it's not, I believe in the wetland, it is directly adjacent in a way that even if construction is built, like anything gets out of that building, liquid spills somehow starts — that building starts leaking over time, right, it's metal, it's concrete. Concrete is porous. The seam would have to be perfect, which is going to fail at some point between the concrete and the metal. It's going to leak directly into that wetland. And that wetland feeds directly into Mohegan Lake.

I have a lot of concerns about that. I,

I think it sets a bad -- it starts setting a

precedent basically, that a lot of people can

come and point to it down the line that this

building was allowed to be put directly adjacent

to a wetland, why can't we put our building? So.

MS. SCHNEIDER: So, and the fact that we have gotten a wetlands permit before to establish

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

ACE hardware and the fact that they're really hurting and they need to find storage someplace, that could also not sway the board to say, hey as long as you're staying out of the wetlands, we, we will grant it. I mean, in, in some ways they did prove at least that they satisfied Paul Jaehnig, the monitoring report. They -- we had gotten the permit, 2018. We did all the mitigation. Maybe you want to talk to it, about it. But, so I, I think -- I'm, I'm just wondering if our record cannot basically then also say, hey, maybe we said yes once. They need to survive. And it really is survival right now. So we, you know, we, we cannot come up with another place on this property, because they own two properties. They own, I don't know how many acres is it? It's altogether, the two, the two properties I think are three and a half or four acres, but 50 percent of it is wetlands. Right?

And we also have the suspicion that actually the drainage pipe that's coming from, from, from Lexington Avenue is contributing to what's now turning into even what used to be

1 February 6, 2024 2 buffer into wetlands. And I mean, maybe that was to be expected, maybe that is accepted in 3 Cortlandt. Maybe we could also then talk about 4 5 getting a drainage -- a pipe that actually leads it right into the wetlands versus into his 6 7 property. Maybe that would be negotiable or, but I just --8 9 MR. ROTHFEDER: I mean, I, I'm, I quess 10 I would say I'm not as convinced as Kevin that, 11 or feeling as negative about it, so I don't know. 12 We haven't polled the board and we won't at this 13 stage, obviously. My feeling is if you satisfy 14 the DEC and, and talk it over with staff and get 15 to a position that, you know, that can present us 16 with a solution that we can approve, I I'd be 17 more amenable to that personally. 18 So I, I don't want you to feel like, you 19 know, there is no, there is no way out of this. 20 But I, but again, I don't know everybody's 21 feeling on the board. 22 MR. DOUGLAS: Well, I don't -- go ahead.

No, you go.

MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. I don't, I don't

MR. BIANCHI:

2.3

2.3

February 6, 2024

want to undermine what Jeff just said, but I am basically share Kevin's, Kevin's views. And I'm, you know, maybe, maybe this is hardhearted when, you know, to say, to say. But when they purchased the property, they knew the condition of the property. And, you know, that factors into when you buy, when you buy something, the conditions there are factored into the decision of whether to buy it or should be. And it factors into the price and to say, well, you know, that half of half of it is wetlands. Well, yes, it always was. And they were, they knew or should have known that.

And personally, I, I mean, maybe somebody could convince me. Maybe you could convince me, but I would be hard pressed to see a scenario in which I would vote in favor of allowing a building that not only is in the, in the buffer, but it comes right up to the, I mean, when we were there, the stake was in -- one of the stakes was in what may have been a puddle from the wetland.

MS. SCHNEIDER: To, to Jack and Larry's

1 February 6, 2024 defense, that's the highest the water has been. 2 3 And as far as I know, we got really a lot of rain 4 over the past couple, couple days. So is it, you 5 know, I mean, it is what it is. But it is the highest that I've ever seen it, and I'm not 6 7 making it up. 8 MR. DOUGLAS: Well, I mean, it has, it 9 has rained harder. I'm not a, a meteorologist, 10 but it has definitely -- this, this season it has 11 rained harder --12 MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. MR. DOUGLAS: -- than it did the last 13 14 couple of days. I mean, if you just think back to 15 the, to the summer or the early fall, we had 16 torrential rains. So I can't imagine this is the 17 highest it's ever been. MS. SCHNEIDER: I mean, I'm not there 18 19 all the time. 20 MR. DOUGLAS: No, I know. 21 MS. SCHNEIDER: But for me, yes. So in

> Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

any case, also, I mean, they bought the property

already, it was a commercial property. It had, I

believe at least, no, it has two buildings -- it

22

2.3

1	February 6, 2024
2	had two buildings on it, right. It had already
3	two buildings on it when they bought it. So it's
4	not like they really got into it knowingly,
5	right. Because you think if, if there are already
6	two buildings, unless you are somebody who is
7	already versed with wetlands and, and they
8	clearly weren't, you probably don't even ask a
9	question, right.
10	MR. MCKINLEY: Well, you
11	MR. DOUGLAS: Well, first of all, you
12	should.
13	MS. SCHNEIDER: I'm just saying it
14	wasn't, it wasn't a green field.
15	MR. DOUGLAS: Well, okay. They, they
16	should ask those questions. And also
17	MS. SCHNEIDER: Absolutely, right.
18	MR. DOUGLAS: they're, they're
19	business people. They're not
20	MS. SCHNEIDER: I would've asked it, but
21	
22	MR. DOUGLAS: Right.
23	MS. SCHNEIDER: you know, we are
24	talking about when did they buy it? I don't know,
	ii

February 6, 2024

2

in 2016, '17, probably, yeah, yeah, yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

wetlands.

MS. SCHNEIDER: It's not in the

MR. DOUGLAS: Look, I have, I have empathy. I want all businesses to succeed. You know, I, I'm, I'm, I own a small, in my field, I own a small business. I'm, I'm a stake owner in a small business. I understand it. But, you know, you asked for people to tell you what they're thinking. This is how I currently think. Maybe you can convince me otherwise. But right now I lean toward what, what, toward Kevin's views.

MR. BIANCHI: And, two points, financial hardship. While I can sympathize from my viewpoint anyway, sympathize with your financial situation, is not a factor in approving or disapproving a project like this. And, I agree with, what my colleagues have said. Well, except for Jeff -- that, this is a problem issue. It's the first time -- I think it's going to be, would be the first time we've ever approved building something that's virtually in a wetlands. I don't know if that's true or not. But --

1	February 6, 2024
2	MR. BIANCHI: It, well it
3	MS. SCHNEIDER: Right.
4	MR. BIANCHI: that's debatable.
5	MS. SCHNEIDER: We got it flagged.
6	MR. BIANCHI: That's debatable.
7	MS. SCHNEIDER: No, I mean, there is,
8	the flagging is done until November.
9	MR. BIANCHI: All right.
10	MS. SCHNEIDER: And then they're not
11	starting until March.
12	MR. BIANCHI: But we, we don't even like
13	approving projects that are in the buffer.
14	MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes.
15	MR. BIANCHI: And that is totally in the
16	buffer, whether it's wetlands or not. You want to
17	argue
18	MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes, it is.
19	MR. BIANCHI: that's, but, but it's
20	all buffer. And, I would have a problem approving
21	this, if, if that's the application that's in
22	front of us. And the second point, maybe a lesser
23	issue, when we went inside, we saw a small engine
24	repair operation going on, and that was not part

2.3

\_

MS. SCHNEIDER: That has been, that, that has been clarified was Martin. So that was always allowable in S1, which is the storage building.

MR. BIANCHI: I understand that, but it wasn't part of the application. We were not aware of that when we approved the original application. So --

MS. SCHNEIDER: So we --

MR. BIANCHI: -- again Mr., Mr. Ahern went on his own and just did his thing. And he, he, he did not comply with the site plan. Now that can be remedied. I'm not saying it's a --

MR. KESSLER: It's not a fatal flaw.

MR. BIANCHI: -- a big, you know, game changer, but it could be remedied. But I'm just saying that it just, it's just the attitude that, you know, you claim financial hardship. But that's not something that we really need to consider or should consider. We have to consider the environment and we have to consider the code and we have to consider that it has

February 6, 2024

2

on, on our town basically.

22

2.3

24

if he sells, what, what's the impact on your town then? I mean, in some ways he, he really, he -basically, if, if he can't get off the ground now with the, the new small business that he purchased to actually get another leg on the, on the ground, then I think he really has to fold. So, in here we have the chance that he, because we need to show you mitigation, right, for what we're doing, we actually would then clean up the other property as well. So I do, and we could even offer that the new building, the, the small addition, which is 24 feet would have a green roof on it. So I mean, I think he is willing to, to really go the extra mile which does cost extra, but it would then also guarantee him that he can stay, he can stay in business. So, I mean, there is a little bit of give and take needed.

MS. SCHNEIDER: So, but what if, I mean,

MR. BIANCHI: Again, I, I wish he could stay in business and I hope he does stay in business. But again, financial hardship is not a reason to, go against the code and any

1	February 6, 2024
2	environmental issues that are involved here.
3	MR. KESSLER: Any other comments from
4	board?
5	MR. KEHOE: I, I just want to say
6	something. I, I know Heike was probably talking
7	about Paul's original work when the original
8	hardware store was opened, and he did, you know,
9	advise the board and it was approved, even that
10	hardware store was in the buffer. And then he
11	goes out there and monitors every year.
12	But specifically with respect to this
13	addition, his report says that he recommends the
14	applicant consider an alternate location for the
15	addition or a narrower width, which I know Jack
16	said the narrower width doesn't work. But I just
17	wanted on the record that our wetland consultant,
18	with specific to this addition, has concerns.
19	MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. But there is no,
20	there is no alternate place.
21	MR. KEHOE: Well, I know. But Paul
22	MS. SCHNEIDER: Unless we, we really go
23	someplace else.
24	MR. KEHOE: But Paul, Paul is the

2.3

wetland person and Paul's recommendation to the board is that that's not the place for the addition.

MS. SCHNEIDER: So the --

MR. KEHOE: But, but same thing that the board's saying, you know, he said narrower width. I mean, he's, he's leaving you options.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Right. So I mean, again, if, if we would proceed and get the DEC to, to give us the permit and you still wouldn't -- would hesitate, then there's no point in us moving forward. So, I mean, if I don't get the feeling that at least you would reconsider, then we might as well fold it, you know, kind of.

MR. KEHOE: Well, but what I think what the board is saying is they're going to refer this back to staff and we're all going to get together and meet.

MR. KESSLER: Right.

MR. KEHOE: If, if Jack is willing, and if you and Ben are willing and figure out what modifications and some, you know, if you want to talk about the green roof and can give

2.3

February 6, 2024

calculations about how that helps with runoff and things like that, and revise the plans if they're at all revisable, and then come back to the board.

MR. KESSLER: Yeah.

MR. KEHOE: I mean, that's your, your call.

MR. KESSLER: Yeah. Yeah. I, I think I'm closer to Jeff's position than I am perhaps the other board members. But I think the DEC is an important hurdle for you to get over.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Sure.

MR. KESSLER: And then again, the conversations, and hopefully, that'll include taking a look at this drainage issue, you know, is it, you know, just so we have all the facts. Is it true? Is it not true? And, and maybe, for Paul Jaehnigs to really tell us how important this pond and then he could -- his words not mine, how important this pond is behind the building is to the entire wetland system there.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah, I think that's important.

1	February 6, 2024
2	MS. SCHNEIDER: Do you have anything to
3	say?
4	MR. KEHOE: We didn't talk too much,
5	right. You, you had a mitigation plan that Paul
6	wasn't pleased with, just for lack of a better
7	term. And have you modified that?
8	MR. BEN TRUITT: I have not modified it
9	yet. We just got the DEC's response.
10	MR. KEHOE: Okay.
11	MR. TRUITT: So we wanted to include any
12	input that Paul is willing to give and I did
13	reach out to him, along with the changes for the
14	DEC and we'll come back with those.
15	MR. KEHOE: Alright. So that's another
16	piece of the puzzle. The, the wetland buffer
17	impact needs to be mitigated and Ben has
18	developed a mitigation plan. So that would be
19	another thing for the board to take a look at.
20	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
21	MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay. So we'll refer
22	this back to staff to discuss possible
23	alternative plans and, and what the DEC's
24	response is.

1	February 6, 2024
2	MR. KESSLER: So who's going to set up
3	this meeting?
4	MR. KEHOE: I think it's already in the
5	works.
6	MR. KESSLER: Oh, it is? Okay.
7	Excellent. Good, good.
8	MS. SCHNEIDER: A quick question.
9	MR. KESSLER: Just make sure you have
10	the right people around the table.
11	MS. SCHNEIDER: Chris, a quick question
12	with regards to the tent, because we have gotten
13	the approval from the, the CBA.
14	MR. KEHOE: And you already have
15	planning board approval.
16	MR. KESSLER: Yeah.
17	MS. SCHNEIDER: I do. Okay.
18	MR. KEHOE: Yeah.
19	MS. SCHNEIDER: Good, uh-huh.
20	MR. KESSLER: So you're still moving
21	forward with the tent?
22	MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes.
23	MR. KESSLER: Okay, good.
24	MS. SCHNEIDER: For now, yes.

2.3

2 MR. KESSLER: Okay, good to hear.

MR. KEHOE: But that -- we didn't talk about it too much. We were aware of the, of Jack's purchasing the lawn mowing repair business sort of anecdotally. But going out on the site inspection, we really see that it's there, a lot of mowers, repair shop in the back. And to Tom's point, yes, the repair is permitted in a CC zone. I know you've had discussions about that with Martin.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes.

MR. KEHOE: And there may be no site plan implications, but there may be site plan implications. So that's -- if anything gets approved, the planning board would conceivably revisit and, and put it into their approving resolution this idea of the small engine repair. Whether it necessitates another door to a building or a dedicated parking place or a new path, it may have site plan implications.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Okay. And also we do have two land -- what is it called -- land banked parking spaces. How does it work with those two

1	February 6, 2024
2	spaces? I mean, I know it was on our original
3	site plan that got approved in 2018.
4	MR. KEHOE: I think what you're
5	referring to is you got a parking special permit.
6	So I believe you can have less parking at the
7	site than is required because you show that you
8	can put two parking spaces there.
9	MR. TRUITT: Yes.
10	MS. SCHNEIDER: Oh, is that what it was?
11	MR. TRUITT: Yes.
12	MS. SCHNEIDER: Okay. So could we, could
13	we then put those two places, those two parking
14	spaces in if we needed to?
15	MR. KEHOE: Yes.
16	MS. SCHNEIDER: Okay.
17	MR. KEHOE: Yeah.
18	MS. SCHNEIDER: Good. Alright.
19	MR. KEHOE: Or you could, you know,
20	you'd have to convince if you want to do
21	exactly the opposite, which you're not implying,
22	but if you want to do the exact opposite and
23	store something there or do something there and
24	eliminate those parking spaces altogether, the

1	February 6, 2024
2	planning board could do that, because you could
3	say, based on our years of experience, those
4	spaces are never taken, we don't need them. So
5	you could do either or.
6	MS. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Okay. Do you have
7	any
8	MR. KESSLER: okay. Any other comments?
9	If not, Jeff.
10	MR. ROTHFEDER: I, I move that we refer
11	this back to staff.
12	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
13	MS. HILDINGER: Second.
14	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
15	MR. KESSLER: And on the question. All
16	in favor?
17	MULTIPLE: Aye.
18	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Okay.
19	MS. SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
20	MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Good evening.
21	Alright, final item this evening. It's the
22	application of Ryan Main for site development
23	plan approval and a residential reuse special
24	permit for a steep slope wetland and tree removal

1	February 6, 2024
2	permits for an additional 13 rental units at
3	Meadowbrook Commons on the Boulevard, formerly
4	Pond View, located on Route 6 west of Regina
5	Avenue. Latest drawings, dated November 26th,
6	2023. Good evening.
7	MR. HERNANI DE ALMEIDA: Good evening.
8	MR. KESSLER: So we had the site visit,
9	and thank you for that. And, very instructive I
10	think for us that were there, but still there are
11	plans that need to be submitted to us.
12	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yeah, we're still
13	waiting on the, tree survey from the consultant,
14	the town consultant.
15	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
16	MR. DE ALMEIDA: We received the list,
17	but no plan to go that goes with the list.
18	MR. KESSLER: Trees, a landscaping plan,
19	and, do we have all the details on, on, the
20	drainage and those things?
21	MR. DE ALMEIDA: The drainage
22	calculations were submitted a while back. The
23	drainage plan was also submitted. Utilities were
24	submitted in draft form to show feasibility that

1 February 6, 2024 2 they, they do wor

2.3

they, they do work. Road layout profile, were all submitted.

MR. KESSLER: So when do you think
you'll have a complete set of plans submitted?

MR. DE ALMEIDA: Really the biggest part
of it is, that tree inventory.

MR. KESSLER: Okay.

MR. DE ALMEIDA: That's the only thing I don't have a, a pulse on.

MR. KESSLER: Is that our consultant doing that or --

MR. KEHOE: Well, yeah. And we're, and we're struggling with it because it takes so long. Our consultant reached out, to the tree people, the tree people answered something, then our consultant reached back to the tree people and then the tree people, I think they're a little bent out of shape that it's not working. And they're like, we've given you everything that we can possibly give you. So the worst case scenario is we've got to get a different tree person out there. But that'll be Hernanie's call because that is, that is stopping this from

1 February 6, 2024 moving forward. So --2 3 MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yeah, we need to get it 4 done as soon as possible. 5 MR. KEHOE: So we'll reach out to LaBella again and say to LaBella, who's our 6 7 person, if you don't think we can ever make this stuff work, then we have a different tree firm, 8 9 the one that did the hotel, they did that cool 10 thing where you can hover over the tree on the 11 plan and click on it. It's a different company. 12 MR. DE ALMEIDA: Okay. 13 MR. KEHOE: And, and they could get out 14 there. 15 MR. DE ALMEIDA: Oh, certainly, yeah. 16 MR. KEHOE: But that -- this was what 17 was discussed partially, right, because the trees 18 are so important in the context of how many 19 you're going to remove the calculations, how many 20 you're going to plant, so on and so forth. So --21 MR. DE ALMEIDA: Exactly. I mean as you 22 saw, we kept, we kept the area of disturbance as 2.3 tight as possible. And to replant within that 24 area of disturbance is going to be difficult. So

1 February 6, 2024 it's, I think it's going to be much like the last 2 3 time were they going to contribute to the fund, 4 which is the alternative means through the town 5 regs. 6 MR. KEHOE: So we were standing out 7 there, and that, those are the garages and that's 8 the space between the garage and Regina Avenue. 9 And that's where you --10 MR. KESSLER: So it's 17 foot, right? 11 MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yes. 12 MR. KEHOE: And, and that's where you're 13 talking about being able to plant those trees? 14 MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yeah. We could plant 15 some trees back there for sure. During the site 16 visit, somebody made a comment about whether or 17 not we can put enough there for screening between 18 the two properties, seeing that on the other side 19 of Regina is all, it's commercial. 20 MR. KESSLER: Isn't there a fence there 21 that's not being shown? 22 MR. DE ALMEIDA: On that rendering? 2.3 That's correct. That fence belongs to the 24 property next door.

1 February 6, 2024 2 MR. KESSLER: Okay. Well that, yeah, I 3 mean --MR. DE ALMEIDA: I believe separate --4 5 MR. KESSLER: -- to get the real picture of it, you need to see it with the fence. 6 7 MR. KEHOE: And then the other thing that I noticed out there, which I don't know if, 8 9 because what we were standing on seemed like it 10 was fill, but it almost seemed like there was a 11 little bit of a drainage channel or a gully 12 running along Regina Avenue coming in a couple 13 feet. There were some rocks and things in there. 14 MR. DE ALMEIDA: Okay. 15 MR. KEHOE: So I just wasn't totally 16 convinced that all of those trees are going to be 17 able to fit, but that's the stuff that needs to 18 be worked out. 19 MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yeah. That's just a, it 20 was a quick rendering. It was the, the goal of 21 that rendering was more to visualize the 22 buildings, and, and get you a size of scale. It

wasn't really to accurately depict the

landscaping.

2.3

2.3

MR. KESSLER: Okay.

MR. KEHOE: And Mr. Kabasa said at the site inspection, you know, sometimes we get rolling along with these things and the code does permit contributions to the environmental restoration fund.

MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yep.

MR. KEHOE: But they are a last resort. So you really need to do a good job, like you've done before of trees, grasses, shrubs, calculations, figure out a comprehensive plan to see if it could satisfy the board. And that's still going to be short.

MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yeah. I mean, it's, it's kind of obvious that there's no way we can, you know, replant the number of trees we're taking out of there, it's just not going to happen. So it's going to be a combination. And even, like I said, if I, if I did a bigger disturbance area and took out some lesser trees, lesser size trees and replaced them and spaced them a little bit better so they have a better survivability rate, then maybe, but then that's a

2.3

bigger disturbance area and we're trying to minimize our footprint on the disturbance. So I mean, we'll, we'll plant the trees and we want to plant responsibly too. I don't want to put a maple that's going to grow 60 feet tall right next to one of those residences and then something can happen, you know? So if you try to stay away from these, these houses with larger trees like maples and oaks and things like that, you've got to stay farther away and it's kind of tight.

MR. KESSLER: Okay.

MR. DE ALMEIDA: I mean, we could put smaller trees for sure, but usually those aren't the ones that type, that are desirable when you're trying to do a mitigation plan. Those are more decorative.

MR. KESSLER: Well, to move this forward, we are going to need that complete set of plans.

MR. DE ALMEIDA: Okay.

MR. KEHOE: And then it was mentioned, and, and I have to refresh my memory, but with

1	February 6, 2024
2	the wet, direct wetland impact, which we talk
3	about as basically a drainage seep, and I
4	understand that.
5	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Correct.
6	MR. KEHOE: But I, I can't remember if
7	any of the plan, you're not taking it all the way
8	to the existing pond through any type of
9	construction.
10	MR. DE ALMEIDA: No.
11	MR. KEHOE: It's going to just percolate
12	its way down there.
13	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Just as it is now.
14	MR. MCKINLEY: Yep.
15	MR. DE ALMEIDA: The only, no, well,
16	the, the storm water system.
17	MR. KEHOE: Right.
18	MR. DE ALMEIDA: So the impact, for the,
19	for the seepage will not change. It's going to be
20	the same kind of seepage, daylighting of
21	groundwater. But the collection of the storm
22	water is going to go to the detention pond, which
23	overflows, as it does now into the wetlands. Or
24	into the, the, not the wetlands, the larger pond,

1	February 6, 2024
2	the natural pond that's all the way in the back.
3	MR. KEHOE: But are is, is all of
4	that shown?
5	MR. DE ALMEIDA: That's shown on the
6	plan.
7	MR. KEHOE: In detail?
8	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yeah.
9	MR. KEHOE: That Joe has taken a look
10	at?
11	MR. DE ALMEIDA: That's shown. if you go
12	to the limits of disturbance, scroll down. That's
13	the, there's one that we have there for are
14	those the most recent version of the plans? I
15	have
16	MR. KEHOE: I'm not positive.
17	MR. DE ALMEIDA: There should be one
18	showing an extension of the yeah, here I tell
19	you what, why I don't give you the PDF that I
20	have here, if you want to really look at it.
21	MR. KEHOE: That, that's fine, but
22	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Sure.
23	MR. KEHOE: that just came up with
24	the site inspection too, to make sure that the,

2.3

the wetland is still going to function as a seep.

MR. DE ALMEIDA: Correct. Nothing's going to change in that respect.

MR. KEHOE: But similar to the case that we just talked about, you, you're directly filling in a wetland. Now, it may not be much of a wetland, but our consultant went out there and defined it as a wetland.

MR. DE ALMEIDA: Defined it as, as it -he defined it as contributing waters to the
wetland through groundwater seepage that just
comes out of the, the hillside.

MR. KEHOE: But I think we're regulating that as a wetland.

MR. DE ALMEIDA: That, that's, yeah. But there's no -- from what I understand there are no plantings, wetland plantings and things like that. So with respect to the seepage, nothing changes. We're not affecting the seepage, we're allowing it to pass through. We're daylighting the footing drains and all that kind of stuff. When it comes to, with respect the plantings, again, we're not reflecting any plantings.

1	February 6, 2024
2	However, I think we're still going to go ahead
3	and do an addition to the wetlands where there
4	are none now, adjacent to them and increase
5	several hundred square feet of wetlands with
6	plantings.
7	MR. KESSLER: Well just, just so I'm
8	clear, so in addition to the, seepage
9	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Correct.
10	MR. KESSLER: the storm water is
11	going to go into this detention basin?
12	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Correct, the captured
13	storm water. So the seepage is just groundwater.
14	MR. KESSLER: Right. And so, but the,
15	from the eaves or wherever
16	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Correct.
17	MR. KESSLER: are going to go into
18	this detention area, which ultimately goes into
19	the bigger pond or whatever it is.
20	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Correct.
21	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
22	MR. DE ALMEIDA: And that's how it
23	functions right now.
24	MR. KESSLER: Yeah. But you're adding

1	February 6, 2024
2	more to it
3	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Well, we're adding
4	MR. KESSLER: to the detention area,
5	are you not?
6	MR. DE ALMEIDA: So when You look at
7	storm water, it's, it's a mitigation where what
8	would normally run off continues to run off and
9	what, what the impervious coverage is increasing
10	the runoff to you're, you're putting it into a,
11	into a detention basin.
12	MR. KESSLER: Right.
13	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Which holds it a little
14	bit longer so the storm passes and then it, then
15	it passes it onto the pond over time.
16	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
17	MR. DE ALMEIDA: So, it's not a, it's
18	not a direct immediate impact.
19	MR. KESSLER: I understand that. But
20	that detention basin has the capacity to handle
21	these 13 new units?
22	MR. DE ALMEIDA: No, in the plans, we
23	have a detention basin being increased in size.
24	MR. KESSLER: Increased in size, okay.
	II

	Page 41
1	February 6, 2024
2	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Correct. To, to take on
3	these additional units. It's the existing basin
4	just being increased in size.
5	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
6	MR. KOBASA: The existing pond can
7	handle the increase then?
8	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Oh yeah.
9	MR. KOBASA: Coming to it? Yeah. Okay.
10	MR. DE ALMEIDA: It's massive, yeah.
11	MR. KESSLER: Any other comments from
12	the board? So, as I said, you know, when we got
13	the complete sets of plans and staff, staff looks
14	it over and thinks it's ready for prime time.
15	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yeah. We've got, we've
16	got the couple of consultants working on it and
17	I'll just wait for the information.
18	MR. KEHOE: But as, as you and I talked,
19	I mean, timing becomes critical, because the next
20	meeting may not be 'til March 6th or whatever,
21	but you know, I need the stuff like a week or so
22	so before then, you know, so.
23	MR. DE ALMEIDA: But the, the company
24	that, that did the initial survey, Bartlett, they

1	February 6, 2024
2	came from the town?
3	MR. KEHOE: Yeah. They're our
4	consultant.
5	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Okay.
6	MR. KEHOE: And, and to be honest, we
7	haven't had these problems in the past.
8	MR. DE ALMEIDA: Yeah. It's weird, yeah.
9	It's a little strange. So, yeah, we'll, we'll
10	work with either continue to work with
11	Bartlett or the other consultant.
12	MR. KEHOE: Okay.
13	MR. DE ALMEDIA: Whatever's faster.
14	MR. KESSLER: Alright. So if no other,
15	other comments, Mr. Kobasa?
16	MR. KOBASA: No, I think it's Peter.
17	Peter.
18	MR. KESSLER: Is it? I'm sorry. Oh,
19	it's, oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Mr. McKinley.
20	MR. MCKINLEY: Apologies, just looking,
21	I'd like to refer back to staff, for PB 2023 for,
22	further plan amendment.
23	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
24	MR. KOBASA: Second.

## CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Ryan Manaloto, certify that the foregoing transcript of the board meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on January 9, 2024 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Phlot

Date: February 20, 2024

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669

New York, NY 10003